

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,576	11/21/2003	Heiko K. Sacher	CS23123US	3260	
7590 02/08/2008			EXAMINER		
Motorola, Inc. 600 North U.S. Highway 45					
Libertyville, IL 60048			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•					

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2008

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/719,576	SACHER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
BORIS PESIN	2174

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on <u>12 September 2007</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the ite

THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawing showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order.	g
 A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other	
 □ A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). □ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawing showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. □ C. Other □ A. A mendments to the claims: □ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. □ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) □ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). □ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. 	
 A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. 	s
⊠ E. Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	i
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.	
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
1. Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amend filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	
2. Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply to correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	ent o a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action.	
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendm filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplementa amendment. /David Wiley/ Spe 2174	
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable Telephone No. J.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 2008	130

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Continuation of 4(e) Other: MPEP 714 States,

"Amendments to a claim must be made by rewriting the entire claim with all changes (

e.g., additions and deletions) as indicated in this subsection, except when the claim is being canceled." MPEP 714 further states, "(4) When claim text shall not be presented; canceling a claim. (i) No claim text shall be presented for any claim in the claim listing with the status of "canceled " or "not entered. " In the response filed 9/12/2007, the Applicant appears to have canceled several of the claims; however the text of the claims has been stricken out indicative of an amendment not a cancellation.

Continuation of 5 Other: Furthermore, MPEP 714.02 states, "In order to be entitled to reconsideration or further examination, the applicant or patent owner must reply to the Office action. The reply by the applicant or patent owner must be reduced to a writing which distinctly and specifically points out the supposed errors in the examiner 's action and must reply to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office action. The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. If the reply is with respect to an application, a request may be made that objections or requirements as to form not necessary to further consideration of the claims be held in abeyance until allowable subject matter is indicated. The applicant's or patent owner's reply must appear throughout to be a bona fide attempt to advance the application or the reexamination proceeding to final action. A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section." Here, the Applicant has made general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.